FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010



CONTENTS

Independent Auditors' Report1-2
Statements of Financial Position
Statements of Activities4-5
Statements of Functional Expenses6-7
Statements of Cash Flows
Notes to the Financial Statements
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements That Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs 33



Landmark Square 111 West Ocean Boulevard Twenty-Second Floor Long Beach, CA 90802

Mailing Address: Post Office Box 87 Long Beach, CA 90801-0087

T: (562) 435-1191 F: (562) 495-1665

www.windes.com

Other Offices: Irvine Los Angeles Torrance

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Board of Directors of Tri-Counties Association for the Developmentally Disabled, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying statements of financial position of Tri-Counties Association for the Developmentally Disabled, Inc. (a California nonprofit corporation) as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, and the related statements of activities, functional expenses, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Organization's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Organization's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and the significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Tri-Counties Association for the Developmentally Disabled, Inc. as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, and the changes in its net assets and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated February 29, 2012, on our consideration of Tri-Counties Association for the Developmentally Disabled, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audits.

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements. The schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations,* and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audits of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

Long Beach, California

Windes & Mc Claughy

February 29, 2012

STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION

ASSETS

ASSETS			
	June 30,		
	2011	2010	
ASSETS			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 20,647,091	\$ 16,705,877	
Cash - client trust funds	1,317	1,153	
Contracts receivable – state of California		20,283,855	
Client receivables from government agencies	49,756	59,324	
Other receivables	22,396	63,461	
Prepaid expenses and deposits	106,639	102,281	
Due from state - accrued vacation and other			
employee benefits	6,779,397	6,046,276	
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 27,606,596	\$ 43,262,227	
1011121120210	<u> </u>	*,====:	
LIABILITIES AND NET	Γ DEFICIT		
LIABILITIES			
Accounts payable	\$ 18,206,360	\$ 19,771,719	
Accrued payroll	456,408	653,778	
Contract advances – state of California	1,801,423		
Line of credit		16,401,000	
Accrued vacation	790,488	794,172	
Due to state	95,472	34,624	
Post-retirement health care plan obligation	6,463,176	6,410,898	
Other liabilities	150,489	137,629	
Unexpended client support	50,091		
	28,013,907	44,203,820	
COMMUNICATION CONTINUED VICES (Notes 5 6 on	.d 0)		
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Notes 5, 6, and	iu 9)		
NET ASSETS (DEFICIT)			
Unrestricted	(407,311)	(1,089,906)	
Temporarily restricted		148,313	
	(407,311)	(941,593)	
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND NET DEFICIT	\$ 27,606,596	\$ 43,262,227	

STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

	Unrestricted	Temporarily Restricted	Total
SUPPORT AND REVENUE			
Contracts – state of California	\$ 210,834,629		\$210,834,629
Interest income	150,452		150,452
Other income	66,844		66,844
	211,051,925		211,051,925
NET ASSETS RELEASED FROM			
RESTRICTION	148,313	(\$ 148,313)	
Total Support and Revenue	211,200,238	(148,313)	211,051,925
EXPENSES			
Program Services			
Client services	208,497,146		208,497,146
Supporting Services			
General and administrative	2,705,023		2,705,023
Total Expenses	211,202,169		211,202,169
CHANGE IN NET ASSETS (DEFICIT) BEFORE HEALTH PLAN-RELATED CHANGES OTHER THAN NET PERIODIC	4 004)	440.040	450.244)
POST-RETIREMENT BENEFIT COST	(1,931)	(148,313)	(150,244)
HEALTH PLAN-RELATED CHANGES OTHER THAN NET PERIODIC POST-			
RETIREMENT BENEFIT COST	684,526		684,526
CHANGE IN NET ASSETS (DEFICIT)	682,595	(148,313)	534,282
NET ASSETS (DEFICIT) AT BEGINNING OF YEAR	(1,089,906)	148,313	(941,593)
NET DEFICIT AT END OF YEAR	(\$ 407,311)	None	(\$ 407,311)

STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2010

	Unrestricted	Temporarily Restricted	Total
SUPPORT AND REVENUE Contracts – state of California Interest income Other income	\$ 212,237,848 207,830 <u>166,426</u> 212,612,104		\$212,237,848 207,830 <u>166,426</u> 212,612,104
NET ASSETS RELEASED FROM RESTRICTION	103,681	(\$ 103,681)	
Total Support and Revenue	212,715,785	(103,681)	212,612,104
EXPENSES Program Services Client services	206,423,851		206,423,851
Supporting Services General and administrative	6,279,164		6,279,164
Total Expenses	212,703,015		212,703,015
CHANGE IN NET ASSETS (DEFICIT) BEFORE HEALTH PLAN-RELATED CHANGES OTHER THAN NET PERIODIC POST-RETIREMENT BENEFIT COST HEALTH PLAN-RELATED CHANGES	12,770	(103,681)	(90,911)
OTHER THAN NET PERIODIC POST- RETIREMENT BENEFIT COST	689,425		689,425
CHANGE IN NET ASSETS (DEFICIT)	702,195	(103,681)	598,514
NET ASSETS (DEFICIT) AT BEGINNING OF YEAR	(1,792,101)	251,994	(1,540,107)
NET ASSETS (DEFICIT) AT END OF YEAR	(\$ 1,089,906)	<u>\$ 148,313</u>	(\$ 941,593)

STATEMENT OF FUNCTIONAL EXPENSES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

	Program Services	Supporting Services		
DUDGHAGE OF GEDVICES	Client Services	General and Admin- istrative	Total Expenses	% of Total Expenses
PURCHASE OF SERVICES Living out of home Day program Transportation Supported living services Behavioral services Medical services Respite services Other services	\$ 29,842,097 57,827,154 10,920,662 39,361,743 24,861,681 3,166,001 9,032,975 11,404,268 186,416,581		\$ 29,842,097 57,827,154 10,920,662 39,361,743 24,861,681 3,116,001 9,032,975 11,404,268 186,416,581	14.13 % 27.38 5.17 18.64 11.77 1.50 4.27 5.40 88.26
OPERATIONS Personnel services				
Salaries Benefits Taxes	12,348,225 4,644,021 236,043	\$ 1,243,785 597,246 24,318	13,592,010 5,241,267 260,361	6.44 2.48 0.12
Occupancy	2 220 201	242.946	2.5(2.127	1 21
Facility rent and maintenance One-time facility expense Utilities	2,320,291 127,499 141,447	242,846 13,338 14,797	2,563,137 140,837 156,244	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.21 \\ 0.07 \\ 0.07 \end{array} $
General expense	438,946	23,040	461,986	0.22
Equipment Purchase, rental, and maintenance	302,513	31,626	334,139	0.16
Professional fees Accounting fees Legal fees Consultant services	58,039 112,361 27,764	6,061 11,755 287,397	64,100 124,116 315,161	0.03 0.06 0.15
Insurance	167,421	17,504	184,925	0.09
Communication	ŕ	·	•	
Telephone Postage	311,202 97,176	32,556 10,135	343,758 107,311	$0.16 \\ 0.05$
Travel	405,722	39,651	445,373	0.21
Training, development, and conferences	155,960	14,439	170,399	0.08
General office expense Office supplies	185,935	15,461	201,396	0.10
Interest expense	22,080,565	79,068 2,705,023	79,068 24,785,588	$\frac{0.04}{11.74}$
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$ 208,497,146	\$ 2,705,023	\$211,202,169	100.00 %

STATEMENT OF FUNCTIONAL EXPENSES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2010

	Program Services	Supporting Services		
DUDGHACE OF CEDVICES	Client Services	General and Admin- istrative	Total Expenses	% of Total Expenses
PURCHASE OF SERVICES Living out of home Day program Transportation Supported living services Behavioral services Medical services Respite services Other services	\$ 28,062,432 56,745,994 10,645,166 39,487,419 26,248,354 3,857,671 9,616,554 13,189,383 187,852,973		\$ 28,062,432 56,745,994 10,645,166 39,487,419 26,248,354 3,857,671 9,616,554 13,189,383 187,852,973	13.19% 26.68 5.00 18.57 12.34 1.81 4.52 6.20 88.31
OPERATIONS Personnel services Salaries Benefits Taxes	10,154,961 4,020,485 137,176	\$ 3,186,105 1,513,087 88,328	13,341,066 5,533,572 225,504	6.27 2.60 0.11
Occupancy Facility rent and maintenance One-time facility expense Utilities	1,884,028 95,803 139,757	603,774 31,419 45,834	2,487,802 127,222 185,591	1.17 0.06 0.09
General expense	293,554	25,493	319,047	0.15
Equipment Purchase, rental, and maintenance	421,116	137,774	558,890	0.26
Professional fees Accounting fees Legal fees Consultant services	44,153 221,025 28,405	14,447 72,486 280,981	58,600 293,511 309,386	0.03 0.14 0.15
Insurance	72,054	23,597	95,651	0.05
Communication Telephone Postage	286,344 84,958	93,759 27,764	380,103 112,722	0.18 0.05
Travel	411,361	52,347	463,708	0.22
Training, development, and conferences	118,832	32,795	151,627	0.07
General office expense Office supplies	156,866	42,896	199,762	0.09
Interest expense	18,570,878	6,278 6,279,164	6,278 24,850,042	0.00 11.69
TOTAL EXPENSES	<u>\$ 206,423,851</u>	<u>\$ 6,279,164</u>	\$212,703,015	100.00 %

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	For the Year Ended June 30,			
	_	2011	. 50,	2010
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES Change in net assets (deficit) Adjustments to reconcile change in net assets (deficit) to net cash from operating activities: (Increase) decrease in:	\$	534,282	\$	598,514
Cash - client trust funds Contracts receivable – state of California Client receivables from government agencies Other receivables Prepaid expenses and deposits	(164) 20,283,855 9,568 41,065 4,358)	(1	2,063 (4,997,279) 28,267 466,214 19,627)
Due from state – accrued vacation and other employee benefits Increase (decrease) in: Accounts payable Accrued payroll	(733,121) 1,565,359) 197,370)	(824,780) 380,679 85,405
Contract advances – state of California Accrued vacation Due to state Post-retirement health care plan obligation Other liabilities Unexpended client support	(1,801,423 3,684) 60,848 52,278 12,860 50,091	(17,499) 218,716) 152,854 55,946
Net Cash Provided By (Used In) Operating Activities	_	20,342,214	(_1	14,307,959)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES Change in line of credit Net Cash Provided By (Used In) Financing Activities	_	16,401,000) 16,401,000)		16,401,000
NET CHANGE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF YEAR		3,941,214 16,705,877	1	2,093,041 14,612,836
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF YEAR		20,647,091		16,705,877

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Cash paid for interest totaled \$79,068 and \$6,278 during the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

NOTE 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Organization and Operations

Tri-Counties Association for the Developmentally Disabled, Inc. (the Center), was incorporated on November 29, 1968 as a California not-for-profit corporation for the purpose of operating Tri-Counties Regional Center and related activities. The Center was organized in accordance with the provisions of the Lanterman Developmental Disabilities Services Act (the Act) of the Welfare and Institutions Code of the State of California. In accordance with the Act, the Center provides diagnostic evaluations, client program management and lifelong planning services for persons with developmental disabilities and their families. The Center serves the counties of Ventura, Santa Barbara and San Luis Obispo.

The Act includes governance provisions regarding the composition of the Center's board of directors. The Act states that the board shall be comprised of individuals with demonstrated interest in, or knowledge of, developmental disabilities, and other relevant characteristics, and requires that a minimum of 50% of the governing board be persons with developmental disabilities or their parents or legal guardians; and that no less than 25% of the members of the governing board shall be persons with developmental disabilities. In addition, a member of a required advisory committee, composed of persons representing the various categories of providers from which the regional center purchases client services, shall serve as a member of the regional center board. To comply with the Act, the Center's board of directors includes persons with developmental disabilities, or their parents or legal guardians, who receive services from the Center and a client service provider of the Center.

The Center contracts with the State of California Department of Developmental Services (DDS) to operate a regional center for individuals with developmental disabilities and their families. Under the terms of these contracts, funded expenditures are not to exceed \$213,025,336 and \$211,451,963 for the 2010-2011 and 2009-2010 contract years, respectively. As of June 30, 2011, actual net expenditures under the 2010-2011 and 2009-2010 contracts were \$208,553,486 and \$211,037,660, respectively.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

NOTE 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Organization and Operations (Continued)

As discussed above, the Center operates under contracts with the DDS. Contract revenue is funded on a cost reimbursement basis. The net asset deficit reported as of June 30, 2011 and 2010 on the statements of financial position is primarily the result of the implementation of an accounting standard regarding the reporting of the Center's post-retirement health care plan. As further discussed in Notes 1 and 5, the accounting standard required the Center to recognize as a charge to net assets the actuarial losses and prior service cost that had not yet been recognized as components of periodic plan expenses. For purposes of reporting plan expenses, the unrecognized actuarial losses and prior service costs will continue to be amortized into plan expenses over future years. Plan expenses under the post-retirement health care plan are reimbursed under the DDS contract as the Center funds the plan.

Financial Statement Presentation

The Center is required to report information regarding its financial position and activities according to three classes of net assets: unrestricted, temporarily restricted, and permanently restricted. As of June 30, 2011 and 2010, the Center had no permanently restricted net assets.

Contributions

Contributions received are recorded as unrestricted, temporarily restricted, or permanently restricted support depending on the existence and/or nature of any donor restrictions.

Use of Estimates and Assumptions

Management uses estimates and assumptions in preparing financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Those estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, and the reported revenues and expenses. Actual results could vary from the estimates that were assumed in preparing the financial statements. The current economic environment has increased the degree of uncertainty inherent in those estimates and assumptions.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

NOTE 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Cash and Cash Equivalents and Concentration of Credit Risk

For the purpose of the statements of cash flows, the Center considers all highly liquid debt instruments with maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents.

At June 30, 2011 and throughout the year, the Center maintained cash balances in its bank in excess of federally insured limits. Given the current economic environment and risks in the banking industry, there is risk that these deposits may not be readily available or covered by insurance.

Support and Contracts Receivable – State of California

Contracts receivable and contract support are recorded on the accrual method as related expenses are incurred.

Equipment

Pursuant to the terms of the contract with the DDS, equipment purchases become the property of the state and, accordingly, are charged as expenses when incurred. For the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, equipment purchases totaled \$245,772 and \$505,447, respectively.

Accrued Vacation and Other Employee Benefits

The Center has accrued a liability for leave benefits earned and for post-retirement health care as discussed in Note 5. However, such benefits are reimbursed under the contract with the DDS only when actually paid. The Center has also recorded a receivable from the DDS for the accrued benefits to reflect the future reimbursement of such benefits.

Post-Retirement Health Care Plan

The Center is required to recognize the funded status of a benefit plan, measured as the difference between plan assets at fair value and the benefit obligation, in the statements of financial position, with an offsetting charge or credit to net assets. Gains or losses and prior service costs or credits that arise during the period but are not recognized as components of net period benefit cost will be recognized each year as a separate charge or credit to net assets.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

NOTE 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Allocation of Expenses

The statements of functional expenses allocate expenses to the program and supporting service categories based on both a direct-cost basis for purchase of services and salaries and related expenses. Operating expenses are based on a percentage of salaries per category to total salaries and related expenses, except for travel and contract consulting expenses, which are allocated on a direct-cost basis. Trailer Bill Language enacted March 24, 2011 requires that all contracts between the Department of Developmental Services and the regional centers require that not more than 15% of all funds appropriated through the regional center's operations budget be spent on administrative costs. The Center is using an agreed upon model to allocate expenses. For fiscal year ending June 30, 2011, the Center's ratio was 10.91%.

Tax Status

The Center has received tax-exempt status from the Internal Revenue Service and California Franchise Tax Board under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code and Section 23701(d) of the Revenue and Taxation Code, respectively.

The Center recognizes the financial statement benefit of tax positions, such as the filing status of tax-exempt, only after determining that the relevant tax authority would more likely than not sustain the position following an audit. The Center is subject to potential income tax audits on open tax years by any taxing jurisdiction in which it operates. The statute of limitations for federal and California purposes is generally three and four years, respectively.

Subsequent Events

The Center's management has evaluated subsequent events from the statement of financial position date through February 29, 2012, the date the financial statements were available to be issued for the year ended June 30, 2011, and determined there are no other items to disclose.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

NOTE 2 – Cash - Client Trust Funds and Unexpended Client Support

The Center functions as custodian for the receipt of certain governmental payments and resulting disbursements made on behalf of regional center clients. The cash balances are segregated from the operating cash accounts of the Center and are restricted for client support. In August 2008, a significant portion of the client trust fund and unexpected client support was transferred to an unrelated third-party management service.

NOTE 3 - Contracts Receivable/Contract Advances - State of California

As of June 30, 2011 and 2010, the DDS had advanced the Center \$41,709,371 and \$32,978,855, respectively, under the contracts with the DDS. For financial statement presentation, to the extent there are claims receivable, these advances have been offset against the claims receivable from the DDS as follows:

	June 30,		
	2011 2010		
Contracts receivable Contract advances	\$ 39,907,948 \$ 53,262,710 (<u>41,709,371</u>) (<u>32,978,855</u>)		
Net contracts receivable (contract advances)	(<u>\$ 1,801,423</u>) <u>\$ 20,283,855</u>		

NOTE 4 – Short-Term Borrowings

The Center had a revolving line of credit agreement with a bank which expired August 15, 2011, whereby it could borrow up to \$7,650,000. Borrowings were secured by substantially all assets of the Center with interest payable monthly at an interest rate of 3.25% at June 30, 2011. There was no outstanding balance at June 30, 2011.

Subsequent to June 30, 2011, the Center entered into a \$32,900,000 line of credit agreement with a financial institution in order to provide operating funds in the event the state budget is not approved or additional funds are needed while waiting for claims to be processed. The line is available for drawing from October 7, 2011 to September 28, 2012.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

NOTE 5 – Post-Retirement Health Care Plan

The Center has a noncontributory post-retirement health care plan for its employees. The Center uses a June 30 measurement date for its plan.

The following tables provide a reconciliation of the changes in the plan's benefit obligations and funded status as of June 30, 2011 and 2010:

Reconciliation of Benefit Obligation

	June 30,		
	2011	2010	
Change in benefit obligation			
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$ 7,627,265	\$ 7,337,057	
Service cost	598,282	588,445	
Interest cost	388,595	408,822	
Actuarial gain	(331,588)	(513,635)	
Benefits paid	(227,521)	(193,424)	
Obligation at end of year	8,055,033	7,627,265	
Change in plan assets			
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	1,216,367	1,079,013	
Employer contribution	50,000		
Actual return on plan assets	325,490	137,354	
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	1,591,857	1,216,367	
Net amount recognized in the statements of			
financial position	(<u>\$ 6,463,176</u>)	(<u>\$ 6,410,898</u>)	

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

NOTE 5 – Post-Retirement Health Care Plan (Continued)

The following table provides the components of the net periodic benefit cost for the plan:

	For the Year Ended June 30,			
		2011		2010
Service cost	\$	598,282	\$	588,445
Interest cost		388,595		408,822
Return on investments	(325,490)	(137,354)
Net asset gain		228,181		51,033
Amortization of unrecognized past service cost		124,757		124,757
Net periodic benefit cost	<u>\$</u>	1,014,325	<u>\$</u>	1,035,703

The plan items not yet recognized as a component of periodic plan expenses, but included as a separate charge to net assets at June 30, 2011 and 2010 are:

	June 30,			
	_	2011		2010
Prior service cost	\$	873,303	\$	998,060
Actuarial (gain) loss	(399,035)		160,734
	<u>\$</u>	474,268	\$	1,158,794

The Center has accrued a receivable as of June 30, 2011 and 2010 from the DDS totaling \$5,988,909 and \$5,252,104, respectively, representing the portion of the post-retirement health care plan obligation which has been recognized as plan expense. The receivables are included in due from state-accrued vacation and other employee benefits in the statements of financial position.

The accumulated benefit obligation as of July 1, 2000 of \$2,245,630 is being considered an unrecognized prior service cost and is being amortized on a straight-line basis over 18 years.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

NOTE 5 – Post-Retirement Health Care Plan (Continued)

Assumptions

Weighted-average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations at June 30:

	2011	2010
Discount rate	5.65%	5.50%
General inflation	2.65%	2.50%
Long-term rate of return on plan assets	8.00%	8.00%

Weighted-average assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit cost for years ended June 30:

	2011	2010
Discount rate	5.50%	6.25%
General inflation	2.50%	3.25%
Long-term rate of return on plan assets	8.00%	8.00%
Assumed health care cost trends at June 30:		
	2011	2010
Net Periodic Benefit Cost:		
Health care cost trend rate assumed for next year Rate to which the cost trend is assumed to decline	7.30%	6.90%
(the ultimate trend rate)	4.50%	5.25%
Year that the rate reaches the ultimate trend rate	2015	2014
Accumulated Benefit Obligation:		
Health care cost trend rate assumed for next year	8.00%	7.30%
Rate to which the cost trend is assumed to decline	1 6501	4.500
(the ultimate trend rate)	4.65%	4.50%
Year that the rate reaches the ultimate trend rate	2016	2015

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

NOTE 5 – Post-Retirement Health Care Plan (Continued)

Assumptions (Continued)

Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the health care plan. A 1% change in assumed health care cost trend rates would have the following effects for the year ended June 30, 2011:

	1% Increase	1% Decrease
Effect on the accumulated post-retirement benefit		
obligation	\$ 1,459,272	(\$ 1,169,576)

Plan Assets

The plan's assets are reported at fair value. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. A recent accounting standard has established a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to measurements involving significant unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are as follows:

- Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Center has the ability to access at the measurement date.
- Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted market prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly.
- Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability.

The level in the fair value hierarchy within which a fair measurement in its entirety falls is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

NOTE 5 - Post-Retirement Health Care Plan (Continued)

Plan Assets (Continued)

The following table sets forth by level, with the fair value hierarchy, the plan's assets at fair value as of June 30, 2011:

Total	i M	in Active larkets for Identical Assets	Significant Other Observable Inputs	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
 1 Utai		(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
\$ 42,413	\$	42,413		
90,474		90,474		
,		<i>'</i>		
, ,	•	, ,		
 233,133		499,199		
\$ 1,591,857	\$	1,591,857	None	None
	90,474 1,159,771 299,199	Total \$ 42,413 \$ 90,474 1,159,771 299,199	Total (Level 1) \$ 42,413 \$ 42,413 90,474 90,474 1,159,771 1,159,771 299,199 299,199	in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) \$ 42,413 \$ 42,413 90,474 90,474 1,159,771 1,159,771 299,199 299,199

The following table sets forth by level, with the fair value hierarchy, the plan's assets at fair value as of June 30, 2010:

	Total	М	in Active larkets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Asset category:		_	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Cash and cash					
equivalents	\$ 56,762	\$	56,762		
Common stocks –					
materials	68,877		68,877		
Mutual funds – equities	839,396		839,396		
Mutual funds – bonds	 251,332		251,332		
Total	\$ 1,216,367	\$	1,216,367	<u>None</u>	None

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

NOTE 5 – Post-Retirement Health Care Plan (Continued)

Plan Asset Allocations

Weighted-average asset allocations at June 30, 2011 were as follows:

	Current	
Asset Class	Allocation	Target
Cash equivalents *	3%	5%
Fixed income	19%	20%
Equity	78%	75%

Weighted-average asset allocations at June 30, 2010 were as follows:

	Current	
Asset Class	Allocation	Target
Cash equivalents *	5%	5%
Fixed income	20%	20%
Equity	75 %	75%

^{*} Included in fixed income target with variance of \pm 10% in accordance with the Center's investment policy.

Cash Flows

Estimated Future Benefit Payments

The following benefit payments, which reflect expected future services, as appropriate, are expected to be paid:

For the Year Ending June 30,	
2012	\$ 283,000
2013	307,000
2014	324,000
2015	392,000
2016	436,000
Years 2017 – 2021	2,739,000
	\$ 4,481,000

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

NOTE 6 - Retirement Plan

The Center contributes to the California Public Employees' Retirement Systems (PERS), an agent multiple-employer public employee retirement system that acts as a common investment and administrative agent for participating public entities within California. Substantially all of the Center's employees participate in PERS.

The actuarial calculations generated by PERS are based on a number of assumptions about very long-term demographic and economic behavior. Unless these assumptions (terminations, deaths, disabilities, retirements, salary growth, and investment return) are exactly realized each year, there will be differences on a year-to-year basis.

PERS actuarial calculation determines plan cost as the sum of two pieces: 1) Normal Cost - the future annual premiums excluding any surplus or unfunded liability; and 2) Past Service Cost - the current value of the benefit for all credited past service of current members.

Under the Normal Cost method, projected benefits are determined for all members and the associated liabilities are spread in a manner that produces level annual cost as a percent of pay in each year from the age of hire to the assumed retirement age.

The actuarial accrued liability for active members is then calculated as the portion of the total cost of the plan allocated to prior years. The actuarial accrued liability for members currently receiving benefits, for active members beyond the assumed retirement age, and for members entitled to deferred benefits, is equal to the present value of the benefits expected to be paid. No normal costs are applicable for these participants.

The excess of the total actuarial accrued liability over the actuarial value of plan assets is called the unfunded actuarial accrued liability. Funding requirements are determined by adding the normal cost and an amortization of the unfunded liability as a level percentage of assumed future payrolls. If the plan's accrued liability exceeds the actuarial value of assets, the annual contribution with respect to the total unfunded liability may not be less than the amount produced by a 30-year amortization of the unfunded liability.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

NOTE 6 - Retirement Plan (Continued)

A summary of principal actuarial assumptions used are as follows:

Valuation Date	June 30, 2010
Actuarial Cost Method	Entry Age Normal Cost Method
Amortization Method	Level percent of payroll
Average Remaining Period	12 years as of the valuation date
Asset Valuation Method	15-year smoothed market
Actuarial Assumptions (used for	·
all plans)	
Investment Rate of Return	7.75% (net of administrative expense)
Projected Salary Increases	Annual increases vary by category, entry age, and
	duration of service
Inflation	3.00%
Payroll Growth	3.25%
Individual Salary Growth	A merit scale varying by duration of employment coupled with an assumed annual inflation growth of 3.00% and an annual production growth of 0.25%

The asset allocation shown below reflects the PERS fund in total as of June 30, 2010. The assets of the Center's plan are part of the PERS fund and are invested accordingly.

	Current	
Asset Class	Allocation	Target
Short-term investments	4.6 %	4.0 %
Global fixed income	26.2	16.0
Equities	45.1	49.0
Inflation linked	2.5	4.0
Real estate	7.5	13.0
Alternative investments	14.1	14.0
	<u>100.0</u> %	<u> 100.0</u> %

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

NOTE 6 – Retirement Plan (Continued)

The Schedule of Funding Progress below shows the recent history of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, their relationship, and the relationship of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability to payroll.

		Actuarial		Fund	ed Ratios	Annual	UL as a
Valuation	Accrued	Value of	Unfunded		Market	Covered	Percentage
Date	Liability	Assets (AVA)	Liability (UL)	AVA	<u>Value</u>	Payroll	of Payroll
06/30/06	\$37,563,989	\$28,676,140	\$8,887,849	76.3%	80.6%	\$12,156,754	73.1%
06/30/07	\$42,022,961	\$34,018,702	\$8,004,259	81.0%	92.9%	\$12,149,729	65.9%
06/30/08	\$46,515,600	\$39,074,471	\$7,441,129	84.0%	84.5%	\$13,104,693	56.8%
06/30/09	\$50,592,735	\$43,399,285	\$7,193,450	85.8%	62.7%	\$13,484,056	53.3%
06/30/10	\$53,912,919	\$47,400,031	\$6,512,888	87.9%	69.7%	\$12,607,755	51.7%

Employee contributions are approximately 5% of salary and wages. Effective July 2011, the Center changed employee contributions to 6%. The Center has two retirement plans with PERS to which it contributes an additional percentage to fund the remaining employee contributions. One is a 3%-at-age-60 formula which was discontinued as of December 31, 2005. All employees hired on or before this date participate in this plan. All employees hired on or after January 1, 2006 participate in a 2%-at-age-60 plan. The total required employee contributions are 7% for the 2%-at-age-60 plan and 8% for the 3%-at-age-60 plan; however, employees contribute 5% and the Center contributes the balance.

In addition, the Center is required to contribute the remaining amount necessary to fund benefits for its employees using the actuarial basis adopted by the PERS Board of Administration. The employer rate is determined using the actuarial basis adopted by the PERS Board of Administration.

A change was adopted by the CalPERS Board in June 2009 to temporarily modify the method of determining the actuarial value of assets and amortizing gains and losses in order to phase in the impact of the 24% investment loss experienced by CalPERS in fiscal year 2008-2009. This modification was implemented for the valuations as of June 30, 2009 through June 30, 2011.

Total retirement expense for the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$2,328,661 and \$2,530,205, respectively.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

NOTE 7 – Temporarily Restricted Net Assets

During the year ended June 30, 2009 and prior, the Center received a private grant to be used for specific purposes as stated by the grantor. As of June 30, 2010, temporarily restricted net assets available for the private grant were \$148,313. There were no temporarily restricted net assets as of June 30, 2011.

NOTE 8 – Related-Party Transactions

In June 2008, the Center established a nonprofit housing corporation, Tri-Counties Community Housing Corporation (the Housing Corporation) for the acquisition of and retention of housing in perpetuity for persons served by the Center. The Center and the Housing Corporation do not share common board directors. However, the Center has the power to designate each and all of the directors on the Housing Corporation's Board of Directors, or revoke a designation with or without cause. Under the housing guidelines established by the DDS, the Center obtained start-up funding under the Community Placement Plan and then, through a contract with the Housing Corporation, provided those funds to the Housing Corporation for the purchase of housing. At June 30, 2010, the Center owed the Housing Corporation \$124,650 under operating contracts. This amount is included in accounts payable at June 30, 2010. During the year ended June 30, 2010, the Housing Corporation recognized \$520,095 in revenue earned under the contracts.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

NOTE 8 – Related-Party Transactions (Continued)

Summarized unaudited financial information for the Housing Corporation is as follows:

	June 30,		
	2011	2010	
	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	
Current assets Receivable from the Center	\$ 199,320	\$ 40,391 124,650	
Property, buildings, and equipment	1,526,975	1,035,360	
Other assets	8,128	1,070	
	<u>\$ 1,734,423</u>	\$ 1,201,471	
Current liabilities	\$ 107,707	\$ 1,470	
Notes payable	955,952	620,499	
Unrestricted net assets	670,764	579,502	
	<u>\$ 1,734,423</u>	<u>\$ 1,201,471</u>	
		Vear Ended te 30,	
	2011	2010	
	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	
Revenue and support	\$ 279,021	\$ 557,388	
Expenses	187,759	157,405	
Change in unrestricted net assets	<u>\$ 91,262</u>	\$ 399,983	

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

NOTE 9 – Commitments and Contingencies

Lease Commitments

The Center has entered into various leases for office facilities. The lease terms range from five to ten-and-one-half years, expiring at various dates through June 30, 2018. Certain leases contain provisions for renewal options and for increasing rent due to increased expenses, taxes, and cost of living. In addition, the Center is required to pay for insurance on certain premises.

The following is a schedule of future minimum lease payments required as of June 30, 2011:

For the Year Ending June 30,	
2012	\$ 2,042,513
2013	1,924,048
2014	1,536,902
2015	1,457,383
2016	1,345,304
Thereafter	2,414,560
	<u>\$ 10,720,710</u>

The facilities rental expense for the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$2,471,903 and \$2,455,184, respectively.

Contract Commitment

In January 2010, the Center entered into a contract agreement with a service provider to provide residential treatment services through June 30, 2020 along with two other regional centers. The agreement renews on an annual basis thereafter, unless canceled in accordance with a termination clause defined in the agreement. The agreement also provides for a funding contingency, where if funding under the Center's contract with the DDS is not adequate to pay for services under the agreement, the Center may cancel the agreement and fund other services as deemed necessary. The Center's maximum future payments under the agreement are approximately \$1.3 million per year.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

NOTE 9 – Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)

Contract Commitment (Continued)

The following is a schedule of future payments required as of June 30, 2011:

For the Year Ending June 30,	
<u> </u>	
2012	\$ 1,304,912
2013	1,304,912
2014	1,304,912
2015	1,304,912
2016	1,304,912
Thereafter	5,219,646
	\$ 11,744,206

The expense for the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$683,695 and \$652,455, respectively.

Contingencies

In accordance with the terms of the contract with the DDS, an audit may be performed by an authorized DDS representative. Should such audit disclose any unallowable costs, the Center may be liable to the DDS for reimbursement of such costs. In the opinion of the Center's management, the effect of any disallowed costs would be immaterial to the financial statements at June 30, 2011 and 2010, and for the years then ended.

The Center is dependent on continued funding provided by the DDS to operate and provide services for its clients. The Center's contract with the DDS provides funding for services under the Lanterman Act. In the event that the Center has insufficient funds to meet its contractual obligations, the state shall make its best effort to secure additional funds and/or provide the Center with regulatory and statutory relief. Should a system-wide deficit occur, the DDS is required to report to the Governor of California and the appropriate fiscal committee of the State Legislature and recommend actions to secure additional funds or reduce expenditures. The DDS's recommendations are subsequently reviewed by the Governor and the Legislature and a decision is made with regard to specific actions, including the possible suspension of the entitlement.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

NOTE 9 – Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)

Contingencies (Continued)

The state of California is experiencing an unprecedented budget shortfall due to the severe national and state economic crisis. The DDS has undertaken numerous efforts to control costs throughout the system and is committed to preserving the entitlement services and supports. Reductions in regional center operations and purchase of services are expected for the 2011/2012 fiscal year as a result of cost control efforts and legislation enacted.

The Center retains approximately 48% of its labor force through Service Employees International Union Local 721; however, approximately 79% of the Center's labor force is subject to a collective bargaining agreement. This labor force is subject to collective bargaining agreements and, as such, renegotiation of such agreements could expose the Center to an increase in hourly costs and work stoppages. The current agreements are generally effective through December 31, 2014.

The Center has elected to finance its unemployment insurance using the prorated cost-of-benefits method. Under this method, the Center is required to reimburse the State of California Employment Development Department for benefits paid to certain former employees. The Center had \$150,489 and \$137,629 in a reserve trust account to pay for any potential unemployment claims at June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The Center is involved in various claims and lawsuits arising in the normal conduct of its operations. The Center's management believes it has adequate defenses and insurance coverage for these actions and, thus, has made no provision in the financial statements for any costs relating to the settlement of such claims.

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

Federal Grantor/ Pass-Through Grantor/ Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Grant Identification Number	Federal Expenditures		
U.S. Department of Education Passed through State of California Department of Developmental Services					
Early Intervention Services (IDEA) Cluster:					
Special Education – Grants for Infants and Families	84.181	H181A100037	\$	2,217,768	
U.S. Corporation for National and Community Service Passed through State of California Department of Developmental Services					
Foster Grandparent Program	94.011	HD099020		166,486	
			\$	2,384,254	

Basis of Presentation

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards includes the federal grant activity of Tri-Counties Association for the Developmentally Disabled, Inc. and is prepared based on state contract budget allocations. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of State, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the basic financial statements.



Landmark Square 111 West Ocean Boulevard Twenty-Second Floor Long Beach, CA 90802

Mailing Address: Post Office Box 87 Long Beach, CA 90801-0087

T: (562) 435-1191 F: (562) 495-1665

www.windes.com

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Other Offices: Irvine Los Angeles Torrance

To the Board of Directors of Tri-Counties Association for the Developmentally Disabled, Inc.

We have audited the financial statements of Tri-Counties Association for the Developmentally Disabled, Inc. as of and for the year ended June 30, 2011, and have issued our report thereon dated February 29, 2012. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Tri-Counties Association for the Developmentally Disabled, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Tri-Counties Association for the Developmentally Disabled, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Organization's internal control over financial reporting.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Tri-Counties Association for the Developmentally Disabled, Inc.'s financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We noted certain matters that we reported to management of Tri-Counties Association for the Developmentally Disabled, Inc. in a separate letter dated February 29, 2012.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Directors, management, others within the Organization, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Long Beach, California

Windes & Mc Claryly

February 29, 2012



Landmark Square 111 West Ocean Boulevard Twenty-Second Floor Long Beach, CA 90802

Mailing Address: Post Office Box 87 Long Beach, CA 90801-0087

T: (562) 435-1191 F: (562) 495-1665

www.windes.com

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS THAT COULD HAVE A DIRECT AND MATERIAL EFFECT ON EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133 Other Offices: Irvine Los Angeles Torrance

To the Board of Directors of Tri-Counties Association for the Developmentally Disabled, Inc.

Compliance

We have audited Tri-Counties Association for the Developmentally Disabled, Inc.'s compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of Tri-Counties Association for the Developmentally Disabled, Inc.'s major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2011. Tri-Counties Association for the Developmentally Disabled, Inc.'s major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of Tri-Counties Association for the Developmentally Disabled, Inc.'s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Tri-Counties Association for the Developmentally Disabled, Inc.'s compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Tri-Counties Association for the Developmentally Disabled, Inc.'s compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of Tri-Counties Association for the Developmentally Disabled, Inc.'s compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, Tri-Counties Association for the Developmentally Disabled, Inc. complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2011.

Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of Tri-Counties Association for the Developmentally Disabled, Inc. is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered Tri-Counties Association for the Developmentally Disabled, Inc.'s internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program to determine the auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Tri-Counties Association for the Developmentally Disabled, Inc.'s internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Directors, management, others within the Organization, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Long Beach, California

Windes & Mc Claughy

February 29, 2012

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

SECTION I – SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS

Financial Statements

Type of auditors' report issued – Unqualified

Internal control over financial reporting

- 1. Material weakness(es) identified? No
- Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)?
 None reported
- 3. Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? No

Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs

- 1. Material weakness(es) identified? No
- 2. Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)? None reported
- 3. Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs? Unqualified
- 4. Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of OMB Circular A-133? No
- 5. Identification of major programs: Early Intervention Services (IDEA) Cluster Special Education Grants for Infants and Families, CFDA #84.181
- 6. Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs was \$300,000.
- 7. Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? Yes

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FINDINGS

None

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

None